2008-11-20 15:00 OaklandCityAttorney

(510) 238-6500 >>

510 8485819 P 2/3

PAGE 02/03

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Јапс Кое			
			CASE NO. C08-04228 CW
		Plaintiff(s),	
City of Oakland,	v. et al.		STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	·	Defendant(s).	
			et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached theR. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to pa	rticipate in the fo	llowing ADR process:
√ (Note: Parties appreciably m ADR phone C ADR Phone C	Early N Mediati who bel ore likel onference	eutral Evaluation eutral Evaluation on (ADR L.R. 6) ieve that an early to meet their net and may not file e. See Civil Local	(ADR L.R. 4) (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
I III vat			tify process and provider)
The parties ag	the presi		on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other rec	quested deadline	120 days (parties currently conducting settlement discussions)
Dated: 11/20/08	<u>.</u>		Julie M. Houk /S/ Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/20/08	-		William Simmons /S/ Attorney for Defendant

Case 4:08-cv-04228-CW Document 19 Filed 11/24/08 Page 2 of 2 11/23/2008 16:07 510-8485819 THE LAW CENTER

2008-11-20 15:00 OaklandCityAttorney

(510) 238-6500 >>

510 8485819 P 3/3

PAGE 03/03

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other

3-23-09

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2 4 Zio8

UNITED STATES MACISTRATE JUDGE